

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,014	03/30/2004	Michael Weinberger	LOJM-0462	5570
7590 08/04/2008 Michael Weinberger 236 West 26th Street			EXAMINER	
			TOOMER, CEPHIA D	
New York, NY	(10001		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/814.014 WEINBERGER, MICHAEL Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Cephia D. Toomer 1797 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 July 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 4.5 and 9-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4.5 and 9-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SZ/UE)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,014 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the amendment filed July 19, 2008 in which claim 11 was amended. The allowability of the claims is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 4, 5 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lipper 3,606,609.
- 3. Lipper teaches a burner for a chafing dish which is adaptable for use with ether liquid fuel or solid fuel (see abstract). The solid fuel may be Sterno (gelled fuel) (see col. 1, lines 16-24). The fuel receptacle 20 is adapted to hold liquid alcohol, and comprises a can 22 open only at the upper end. Can 22 is shaped to fit snugly in the base receptacle 10. Can 22 is filled with a wick material 24 which is covered by the metal mesh 26. A rounded, inwardly extending lip 28 holds both the mesh 26 and the wick material 24 in place. The can 22 is hingedly fitted with a substantially semicircular handle 30 to facilitate emplacement in and removal from base receptacle 10. When the fuel receptacle 20 is placed in the base receptacle 10, handle 30 may be swung down to nestle in the space afforded by the annular portion 14 and the faired portion 16.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,014

Art Unit: 1797

When the user desires to employ Sterno as a fuel, he simply replaces the fuel receptacle 20 with a suitably sized Sterno can. Lipper teaches the limitations of the claims other than the differences that are discussed below.

Lipper fails to teach that the can is rectangular having the claimed limitations that apply to the rectangular noncombustible housing. However, in In re Dailey, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966), the court held that the configuration of the claimed container was a matter of choice which a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found obvious absent persuasive evidence that the particular configuration of the claimed container was significant.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the liquid fuel with a solid fuel because Lipper teaches that the fuels are interchangeable(see abstract).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cephia D. Toomer whose telephone number is 571-272-1126. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,014 Page 4

Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Cephia D. Toomer/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1797

10814014\20080801